

Downer EDI Mining Pty Ltd ABN 49 004 142 223

> 135 Coronation Drive Milton QLD 4064

1800 DOWNER www.downergroup.com

Downer EDi Mining – Blasting Services Pty Ltd Lot 2, 8 Melva Place Mt Thorley NSW 22330 https://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/licensing-and-regulation/public-registers

Effluent Water Quality Monitoring – Downer Blasting Services (DBS), 9 Melva Place, Mount Thorley November 2020

Effluent Water Quality Monitoring was carried out at Downer Blasting Facility, EPL Licence 12325. The sampling was conducted by independent consultancy Environmental Resources Management (ERM) on behalf of DBS.

Sampling was carried out in accordance with the Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Water Pollutants in New South Wales (DEC, 2004, Approved Methods), with reference to the following standards:

- AS/NZS 5667.1:1998(R2016) Guidance on the design of sampling programs, sampling techniques and the preservation and handling of samples; and
- AS/NZS 5667.4:1998(R2016) Guidance on sampling from lakes, natural and manmade.

Effluent is required to be sampled on a quarterly basis from the site Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) as per the requirements of EPL Licence 12325.

The sample point utilised to undertake the sample was (please choose)

☐ Effluent discharge outlet hose located at Monitoring at co-ordinates 322450 6389500 (Easting Northing)

Or

The sample was transported to the Eurofins laboratory, a NATA accredited laboratory, on the same day for pH and faecal coliforms analysis.



Results Summary

The analytical results from this event and previous monitoring events are displayed in **Table 1** below.

Table 1 Table 1 Effluent Water Quality Monitoring Results

| Event | Purge Date | рН | Faecal coliforms (MPN or CFU/100mL) |
|----------|------------|------|--|
| Q2 2018 | Jun-18 | 4.00 | <2 |
| Q3 2018 | Sep-18 | 7.49 | 62.0 |
| Q4 2018 | Dec-18 | 7.58 | ~400* |
| Q1 2019 | Mar-19 | 7.55 | ~91* |
| Q2 2019 | Jun-19 | 7.96 | 130.0 |
| Q3 2019 | Sep-19 | 4.26 | <9 |
| Q4 2019 | Dec-19 | 5.05 | <17 |
| Q1 2020 | Feb-20 | 3.28 | <9 |
| Q2 2020* | Jun-20 | 7.90 | >24000** |
| Q2 2020 | July-20 | 6.4 | 840 |
| Q3 2020 | Sept-20 | 7.2 | 20 |
| Q4 2020 | Sept-20 | 4.6 | 44 |

^{*} Q2 2020 sampling was undertaken twice following an anomalous result for faecal coliforms reported during the June sampling event.

Discussion

There are no limits set in EPL Licence 12325 for the WWTP Effluent sample for pH or faecal coliforms.

The next sample is due to be completed in 3months



Appendix A - Certificate of Analysis



Certificate of Analysis

Environment Testing

ERM Hunter Valley Level 1 / 45 Watt Street Newcastle NSW 2300





NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 1254 & 14271

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Attention: Matthew Colthorpe

Report 756166-W

Project name DBS MT THORLEY SW

Project ID 555801 Received Date Nov 11, 2020

| Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No. Date Sampled | | | EFF_01 Water M20-No17781 Nov 09, 2020 |
|---|-----|-----------|--|
| Test/Reference | LOR | Unit | |
| | | | |
| pH (at 25 °C) | 0.1 | pH Units | 4.6 |
| Pathogens | | | |
| Thermotolerant Coliforms | 1 | MPN/100mL | 44 |



Environment Testing

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported.

A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

| Description | Testing Site | Extracted | Holding Time |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| pH (at 25 °C) | Melbourne | Nov 11, 2020 | 0 Hours |
| - Method: LTM-GEN-7090 pH in water by ISE | | | |
| Thermotolerant Coliforms | WaterTestingVic | Nov 13, 2020 | 24 Hours |
| - Method: LTM-MIC-8623 Thermotolerant Coliforms by MPN | | | |



eurofins

Company Name: Address:

ERM Hunter Valley Level 1 / 45 Watt Street Newcastle NSW 2300

DBS MT THORLEY SW 555801

Nov 11, 2020 8:00 AM Nov 18, 2020 5 Day - ALL INVOICES Order No.: Report #: Phone: Fax: Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name: 756166 (02) 4964 2150 (02) 4964 2152

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Andrew Black

| | oject Name: oject ID: | DBS MT TH0 555801 | ORLEY SW | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------|---------------|---|--------------------------|
| Sample Detail | | | | | | | Thermotolerant Coliforms |
| Melb | ourne Laborate | ory - NATA Site | # 1254 & 142 | 71 | | Х | X |
| Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 | | | | | | _ | _ |
| Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 | | | | | | | |
| Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 | | | | | | | |
| Mayfield Laboratory | | | | | | | |
| External Laboratory | | | | | | | |
| No | Sample ID | Sample Date | Sampling Time | Matrix | LAB ID | | |
| | | | | Water | M20-No17781 | х | х |
| 1 | EFF_01 | Nov 09, 2020 | | vvater | W12U-1VU17701 | ^ | _^ |





Environment Testing

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- 2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. All biotalfood results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- 4. Actual LORs are matrix dependent. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- 5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
- 6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise
- 7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results
- 9. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing viryl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl viryl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

"NOTE: pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: miligrams per kilogram ug/L: miligrams per litre ug/L: micrograms per litre

ppm: Parts per million ppb: Parts per billion %: Percentage

ora/100mL: Organisms per 100 millitres NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millitre

Terms

Dry Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.

LOR Limit of Reporting

SPIKE Addition of the smallyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery RPD Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis. LCS Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery. Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.

Method Blank In the case of solid samples these are performed on isboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water

Surr - Surrogate The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.

Duplicate A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA American Public Health Association
TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

COC Chain of Custody

QSM US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3

CP Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report

NCP Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.

TEQ Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable

Results <10 times the LOR: No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR: RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR: RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenois & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 62 FTSA, 82 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

- Where a result is reported as a less than (%), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the liaboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- 4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- 7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INI" appears against that analyte
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- 9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a deah "-" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.

10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from new analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Eurofins Environment Testing 6 Monterey Road, Dandenong South, Victoria, Australia 3175

Page 4 of 6

Date Reported: Nov 16, 2020

ABN : 50 005 085 521 Telephone: +61 3 8564 5000





Environment Testing

Quality Control Results

| Test | Lab Sample ID | QA Source | Units | Result 1 | | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code |
|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | · | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | | |
| pH (at 25 °C) | S20-No14732 | NCP | pH Units | 8.0 | 8.0 | pass | 30% | Pass | |



Environment Testing

Comments

Sample Integrity

| campic integrity | |
|---|-----|
| Custody Seals Intact (if used) | N/A |
| Attempt to Chill was evident | Yes |
| Sample correctly preserved | Yes |
| Appropriate sample containers have been used | Yes |
| Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace | Yes |
| Samples received within HoldingTime | Yes |
| Some samples have been subcontracted | No |
| | |

Authorised By

Andrew Black Analytical Services Manager
Nandhini Uthayakumaran Senior Analyst-Microbiology (WTV)
Scott Beddoes Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)

lij film

Glenn Jackson

General Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested
- * Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please $\underline{\text{click here.}}$

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.